

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,487	06/07/2007	Cedric Buchon	979-236	1773
39600 1027/2009 SOFER & HAROUN LLP. 317 MADISON AVENUE, SUITE 910			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, VISHAL I	
NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/583 487 BUCHON, CEDRIC Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit VISHAL I. PATEL 1791 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 August 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 15 June 2006 is/are; a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Drawings

2. Figure 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

 The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract contains more the 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1791

 Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Spitzer (US Pat. No.: 6,023,372).

- 6. Claim 14 is product by process claim which covers an electronic display comprising a light duct. Spitzer teaches such electronic display having a light duct made by an injection molding process (Col 8, Ln 63 Col 9, Ln 10; Fig 7). There is no evidence that the structure of the light duct made an injection process disclosed by Spitzer is structurally different from the instantly claimed light duct made by a process recited in this claim. Therefore, it's reasonably expected that the prior art light duct is the same or substantially the same as claimed light duct. Thus, the instant claim is anticipated by the electronic display having an injection molded product. If there is any difference in structure between the claimed and prior art product, the difference must be minor and obvious.
- 7. In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1254, 195 USPQ430, 433 (CCPA 1977)

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 1-13 are allowable subject matter. The applicant is advised to withdraw/cancel claim 14.
- 9. Spitzer teaches fabricating light duct by casting, molding and machining plurality of parts which are bonded together to form a solid single unit. This process can be time consuming and cumbersome. Spitzer along with various other publications teaches comprising a light duct via injection mold to for a single unit.
- 10. However, Spitzer does not teach nor provided any foresight on the actual fabricating method of a light duct. The specific limitations such as injecting through a

Art Unit: 1791

feed orifice disposed on one side of said cavity over a face that is substantially parallel to the plane defined by said axes, wherein said feed orifice presents a height h lying in the range $0.2~L_{moy}$ and $0.8~L_{moy}$; and injecting the thermoplastic material at a rate lying in the range $400~mm^3$ /s to $1500~mm^3$ /s as claimed by instant application is novel. Hence, claim 1 and depended claims 2-13 are allowable subject matter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VISHAL I. PATEL whose telephone number is (571)270-7660. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 8:00AM to 5:00PM & alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katarzyna I. Wyrozebski can be reached on (571) 272-1127. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/VISHAL I. PATEL/ Examiner, Art Unit 1791 /KHANH NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791